Reply of Government.—Revision Survey should be awaited. The matter may be represented to Deputy Commissioners.

SUBJECT No. 78.

Remissions of assessment on lands under breached tanks.

Messrs. Chikkaramanna and Suryanaranappa, Kolar Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Request that the Jamabandi Officers may be empowered to grant half remissions on lands under breached tanks, which have been classed as "garden" during the Survey Settlement, even though mere vegetables are grown thereon without raising any "Dhruva Pairu."

Reply of Government.—Individual cases may be represented to Deputy Commissioners and Revenue Commissioner.

SUBJECT No. 79.

Remission of wet assessment on lands below tanks or channels not supplied with water.

Messrs. Lakshminaranappa of Heggaddevankote Taluk; and Srinivasa Rao of T.-Narsipur Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Wet assessment is fixed upon lands under channels and tanks. Owing to the inadequate supply of water and failure of monsoons, wet crops fail. In such cases remission of wet assessment is prayed for. The Jamabandi Officers may be empowered to pass orders regarding such remissions. Otherwise people will be put to much loss.

Reply of Government.—*Vide* Subject No. 75.

SUBJECT No. 80.

Remission of kandayam during scarcity of rainfall.

Messrs. D. Naranappa, Sidlaghatta Taluk; Suryanaranappa, Giddegowda and Byregowda of the Kolar Taluk; Chinnappa and Venkataswami Reddi of the Srinivaspur Taluk; C. B. Gopala Rao, M. S. Ramachar and Mudrachappa, Kolar Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—(1) In the year Sobhakritu several tanks did not receive proper supply of water. Vaisakhi and Kartika crops could not be raised on several wet lands. Raiyats were put to great difficulty for paying kandayam to Government. In the year Krodhi, it was too late to sow the Kartika crop. Tanks have received no water as yet. Dry lands have not been sown. No wet crop was raised last year. This year it is too late for cultivation. There is no hope of raising Vaisakhi crop under small tanks. Remission of assessment on wet lands for the last year and also for the present year may be granted. Rajinamas for wet lands retained in khate on the expectation of the tanks receiving adequate supply of water, may be ordered to be accepted, although the time for the presentation of rajinamas has elapsed.

(2) The Deputy Commissioners may be given ample discretion to grant remission of kandayam in the years of drought.

Reply of Government.—*Vide* Subject No. 75.

SUBJECT No. 81.

Recovery of kandayam in six khists and the khists to commence from February.

(*a*) Mr. Lakshminarasimhachar of Chamrajnagar Taluk.

(*b*) Mr. S. Subrayabhatta, Tirthahalli Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—(*a*) The collection of land revenue in four monthly instalments beginning from February is inconvenient to the raiyat, as during that period he has to plough the land and sow jolam, Bengal gram, castor seed, sugar-cane, etc. He will therefore be unable to find favorable market for his grains. In most instances he has to dispose of grains at low prices to brokers in order to pay the kandayam. Further, at that time of the year the

market prices go very low. There will be great demand for grains only during the marriage seasons, *i. e.*, at the close of May, by which time the kandayam ought under the present rules to have been paid. It is prayed therefore that the present khists be raised to six in the interests of raiyats.

(*b*) Khists for paying kandayam may advantageously commence from February.

Reply of Government.—The decision of last year holds good. The existing khistbandi is quite suitable and requires no reconsideration.

SUBJECT No. 82.

Entry of the name of the payee in patta receipts Form No. 11.

Mr. Shanbhog Shamaiya, Kankanhalli Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—In No. 11 patta form the amount paid alone is written and not the name of the party or co-sharer that pays the kandayam. As in Form No. 10 of daily kirdi, the name of the payee also may be ordered to be entered.

Reply of Government.—There seems to be no objection. Opinions will be called for.

SUBJECT No. 83.

Disposal of worthless wet lands.

Mr. D. S. Hanumanta Rao, Devanhalli Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Wet lands which ordinarily fetch no price may be given away to the darkhastdars without sale.

Reply of Government.—The existing rules do not require any change.

SUBJECT No. 84.

Cancellation of darkhasts for lands which are to be sold by public auction.

Mr. Padmanna, Nanjangud Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Lands situated under channels, and in the neighbourhood of villages and beds of abandoned tanks, which should not have been given out for cultivation, have been given away by darkhast instead of by sale, thereby causing loss to Government and trouble to raiyats. It is requested that such grants be cancelled.

NOTE.—Mr. Guru Rao referred to such improper grants to one Narasimhaiya. There were also, he said, such improper grants in Holalvadi, Hadinaru and Alambur villages in the Nanjangud Taluk.

Reply of Government.—A report will be called for of lands granted without sale by auction under these channels of Kapila river.

SUBJECT No. 85.

Shekdars to be authorised to receive darkhasts, rajinamas and varg-rajinamas.

Mr. Chikke Gowda, Maddagiri Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—It is usual now to present darkhasts for lands and rajinamas and varg-rajinamas to Amildars, who after correspondence with shekdars pass final orders thereon. The applicant has to learn of the disposal of his application through shekdar. This procedure involves delay and the raiyat will not be put in possession of the land in time. Orders are solicited to authorize the shekdars to receive such applications and to report upon all the circumstances connected with the land once for all to the Amildar, so as to save unnecessary correspondence and delay.